

Examples of Vertical Tables

Table B.1

Hierarchical Multiple Regression Analyses Predicting Postabortion Positive Well-Being from Preabortion Social Support and Preabortion Social Conflict with Mother, Partner, and Friend

Predictor	Source of social support and social conflict					
	Mother		Partner		Friend	
	ΔR^2	β	ΔR^2	β	ΔR^2	β
Step 1	.13**		.10***		.10***	
Control variables ^a						
Step 2	.16***		.19***		.22***	
Positive efface		.31***		.32***		.35***
Negative efface		-.25***		-.27***		-.30***
Step 3	.20		.05***		.01**	
Social support		.17**		.17***		.08*
Social conflict		-.09		-.08		-.06
Step 4	.16***		.19***		.22***	
Social support x		.31***		.32***		.35***
Social conflict		-.25***		-.27***		-.70
Total R^2	.32***		.33***		.34***	
<i>n</i>	153		455		373	

Note. Adapted from “Mixed Messages: Implications of Social Conflict and Social within Close Relationships for Adjustment to a Stressful Life Event,” by B. Major, J. M. Zubek, M. L. Cooper, C. Cozzarelli, and C. Richards, 1997, *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 72, p. 1359. Copyright 1997 by the American Psychological Association.

^aControl variables included age, race, education, marital status, religion, abortion history, depression history, and prior mental health counseling.

* $p < .10$. ** $p < .50$. *** $p < .001$.

Table B.2

Fixed Effect Estimates (Top) and Variance-Covariance Estimates (Bottom) for Models of the Predictors of Positive Parenting

Parameter	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5
Fixed effects					
Intercept	12.51 (0.04)	12.23 (0.07)	12.23 (0.07)	12.23 (0.07)	12.64 (0.11)
Level 1 (child-specific)					
Age		-0.49*(0.02)	-0.48*(0.02)	-0.48*(0.02)	-0.48*(0.02)
Age ²		0.06*(0.01)	0.06*(0.01)	0.06*(0.01)	0.06*(0.01)
Age ³		-0.47*(0.02)	-0.48*(0.02)	-0.40*(0.02)	-0.46*(0.02)
Age ⁴		0.07*(0.01)	0.08*(0.01)	0.05*(0.01)	0.06*(0.01)
Negative affectivity		-0.56*(0.08)	-0.53*(0.08)	-0.57*(0.09)	-0.57*(0.09)
Girl		0.05 (0.05)	0.05 (0.05)	0.05 (0.05)	0.07 (0.05)
Mother		-0.31 (0.10)	-0.34 (0.26)	-0.28 (0.26)	-0.30 (0.28)
Father		-0.34* (0.10)	-0.31* (0.10)	-0.30* (0.10)	-0.29 (0.15)
Not bio. Mother		-0.31 (0.10)	-0.34 (0.26)	-0.28 (0.26)	-0.30 (0.28)
Not bio. Father		-0.34* (0.10)	-0.31* (0.10)	-0.30* (0.10)	-0.29 (0.15)
Oldest sibling		0.38* (0.07)	0.37* (0.07)	0.37* (0.07)	0.36* (0.07)
Middle sibling		-0.36* (0.06)	-0.34* (0.06)	-0.35* (0.06)	-0.28* (0.06)
Level 2 (Family)					
SES					0.18*(0.06)

(continued)

Table B.2 (continued)

Parameter	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5
Marital dissatisfaction					-0.43* (0.14)
Family size					-0.41* (0.08)
Single parent					0.09 (0.19)
All-girl sibship					-0.20 (0.13)
Mixed-gender sibship					-0.25* (0.10)

Note. Standard error are in parentheses. Not bio. Mother = not living with the biological mother; Not bio.Father = not living with the biological father; SES =socioeconomic status; Neg. affect = negative affectivity. Adapted from “The Role of the Share Family Context in Differential Parenting,” by J. M. Jenkins, J.Rasbash, and T. G. O’Connor, 2003, *Developmental Psychology*, 39, p. 104. Copyright 2003 by the American Psychological Association.

* $p < .05$.